

Comment Form—Cabrillo Port LNG Deepwater Port draft EIS/EIR

Name (Please Print): Debra Quandt Source: Public Meeting - Oxnard PM
 Organization/Agency: _____ Date: 11/30/2004
 Street Address: 421 Eva St.
 City: Ventura State: CA Zip Code: 93003
 Email address: _____

Please provide written comments in the space below and drop this form into the comment box.

You may also submit comments

- Electronically through the Project Web site at <http://www.cabrilloport.ene.com>
- Electronically through the Docket Management System Web site (docket number 16877) at <http://dms.dot.gov>.
- Or by mail or email to following addresses:

Docket Management Facility
 Room PL-401
 400 Seventh Street SW
 Washington, DC 20590-0001

California State Lands Commission
 100 Howe Avenue, Suite 100-South
 Sacramento, CA 95825
ogginsc@slc.ca.gov
 Attention: Cy Oggins

All comments must be received by 2 p.m. PST, December 20, 2004

Comments (Use other side or attach additional sheets if necessary): _____

I am appalled at the prospect of
running LNG pipelines through our
amazing Channel Coast. We have an
incredible diversity of marine life that G077-1
could be decimated by an accident, not
to mention the aesthetic & human cost G077-2
if such a disaster were to occur. G077-3
I strongly oppose allowed this project to proceed!

No action will be taken until the environmental review process is completed.

G077-1

Section 4.7 addresses this topic.

G077-2

Section 4.4 and Appendix F contain information on visual resources, impacts, and mitigation. Appendix F describes how visibility from various distances was evaluated and provides additional simulations prepared for viewpoints at elevated sites along the Malibu coastline and inland areas.

G077-3

Section 4.2.7.6 and the Independent Risk Assessment (Appendix C1) contain information on public safety impacts from various incidents at the FSRU. The analysis indicates that the maximum impact distance of an accident would involve a vapor cloud dispersion extending 6.3 nautical miles (7.3 miles) from the FSRU. The FSRU would be located approximately 12.01 nautical miles (13.83 miles) offshore; therefore, consequences of an accident involving LNG transport by carrier and storage on the FSRU would extend no closer than 5.7 nautical miles (6.5 miles) from the shoreline. Figure ES-1 depicts the consequence distances surrounding the FSRU location for worst credible events.

DEC. 19. 2004 9:35PM

308 P00

NO. 437 P. 1/1

Source:
USCG Docket


Dear Commissioners

USCG-2004-16877-699 Date: 12/17/04

I want to express my support for the BHP Billiton LNG proposed facility in Oxnard. I was unable to stay long enough to speak at your recent hearing. I attended until 9:30pm but they did not call my name even though I signed up to speak.

G466-1

G466-2


Delfino Quezada

7831 Vineland Ave, Apt. 18 Sun Valley, CA 91352

Docket No. USCG-2004-16877
State Clearinghouse No.
20044021107

G466-1

Your statement is included in the public record and will be taken into account by decision-makers when they consider the proposed Project.

G466-2

The notices for the public meetings and the information provided at the public meetings indicated that commenters would speak in the order that their requests were received, after elected officials and representatives of government agencies were heard. We regret that you were unable to stay at the meeting to provide oral testimony; however, your submitted written comment carries the same weight as any oral comments provided at public hearings.